Environmental Protection Agency

owner or operator of a newly constructed or reconstructed flare shall comply with the emission limit in this paragraph by no later than the date that flare becomes an affected flare subject to this subpart. The owner or operator of a modified flare shall comply with the emission limit in this paragraph by no later than 1 year after that flare becomes an affected flare subject to this subpart.

- (h) The combustion in a flare of process upset gases or fuel gas that is released to the flare as a result of relief valve leakage or other emergency malfunctions is exempt from paragraph (g) of this section.
- (i) In periods of fuel gas imbalance that are described in the flare management plan required in section 60.103a(a), compliance with the emission limit in paragraph (g)(3) of this section is demonstrated by following the procedures and maintaining records described in the flare management plan to document the periods of excess fuel gas.

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 73 FR 78552, Dec. 22, 2008, in §60.102a, paragraph (g) was stayed from Feb. 24, 2009 until further notice.

§ 60.103a Work practice standards.

- (a) Each owner or operator that operates a flare that is subject to this subpart shall develop and implement a written flare management plan. The owner or operator of a newly constructed or reconstructed flare must develop and implement the flare management plan by no later than the date that flare becomes an affected flare subject to this subpart. The owner or operator of a modified flare must develop and implement the flare management plan by no later than 1 year after the flare becomes an affected flare subject to this subpart. The plan must include:
- (1) A diagram illustrating all connections to the flare:
- (2) Methods for monitoring flow rate to the flare, including a detailed description of the manufacturer's specifications, including but not limited to, make, model, type, range, precision, accuracy, calibration, maintenance, and quality assurance procedures for flare gas monitoring devices;

- (3) Procedures to minimize discharges to the flare gas system during the planned start-up and shutdown of the refinery process units that are connected to the affected flare;
- (4) Procedures to conduct a root cause analysis of any process upset or malfunction that causes a discharge to the flare in excess of 14,160 m³/day (500.000 scfd):
- (5) Procedures to reduce flaring in cases of fuel gas imbalance (i.e., excess fuel gas for the refinery's energy needs); and
- (6) Explanation of procedures to follow during times that the flare must exceed the limit in §60.102a(g)(3) (e.g., keep records of natural gas purchases to support assertion that the refinery is producing more fuel gas than needed to operate the processes).
- (b) Each owner or operator that operates a fuel gas combustion device or sulfur recovery plant subject to this subpart shall conduct a root cause analysis of any emission limit exceedance or process start-up, shutdown, upset, or malfunction that causes a discharge to the atmosphere in excess of 227 kilograms per day (kg/day) (500 lb per day (lb/day)) of SO₂. For any root cause analysis performed, the owner or operator shall record the identification of the affected facility, the date and duration of the discharge, the results of the root cause analysis, and the action taken as a result of the root cause analysis. The first root cause analysis for a modified flare must be conducted no later than the first discharge that occurs after the flare has been an affected flare subject to this subpart for 1 year.
- (c) Each owner or operator of a delayed coking unit shall depressure to 5 lb per square inch gauge (psig) during reactor vessel depressuring and vent the exhaust gases to the fuel gas system for combustion in a fuel gas combustion device.

§60.104a Performance tests.

(a) The owner or operator shall conduct a performance test for each FCCU, FCU, sulfur recovery plant, and fuel gas combustion device to demonstrate initial compliance with each applicable emissions limit in §60.102a according to

§60.104a

the requirements of §60.8. The notification requirements of §60.8(d) apply to the initial performance test and to subsequent performance tests required by paragraph (b) of this section (or as required by the Administrator), but does not apply to performance tests conducted for the purpose of obtaining supplemental data because of continuous monitoring system breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments.

- (b) The owner or operator of a FCCU or FCU that elects to monitor control device operating parameters according to the requirements in §60.105a(b), to use bag leak detectors according to the requirements in §60.105a(c), or to use COMS according to the requirements in §60.105a(e) shall conduct a PM performance test at least once every 12 months and furnish the Administrator a written report of the results of each test.
- (c) In conducting the performance tests required by this subpart (or as requested by the Administrator), the owner or operator shall use the test methods in 40 CFR part 60, Appendices A-1 through A-8 or other methods as specified in this section, except as provided in §60.8(b).
- (d) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the PM, NO_X , SO_2 , and CO emissions limits in $\S 60.102a(b)$ for FCCU and FCU using the following methods and procedures:
- (1) Method 1 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for sample and velocity traverses.
- (2) Method 2 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for velocity and volumetric flow rate.
- (3) Method 3, 3A, or 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60 for gas analysis. The meth-

od ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60.

- (4) Method 5, 5B, or $\overline{5}F$ of appendix A-3 to part 60 for determining PM emissions and associated moisture content from a FCCU or FCU without a wet scrubber subject to the emissions limit in $\S63.102a(b)(1)$. Use Method 5 or 5B of appendix A-3 to part 60 for determining PM emissions and associated moisture content from a FCCU or FCU with a wet scrubber subject to the emissions limit in $\S63.102a(b)(1)$.
- (i) The PM performance test consists of 3 valid test runs; the duration of each test run must be no less than 60 minutes.
- (ii) The emissions rate of PM (E_{PM}) is computed for each run using Equation 3 of this section:

$$E = \frac{c_s \ Q_{sd}}{K \ R_c} \qquad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

Where:

 $E = Emission \ rate \ of \ PM, \ g/kg, \ lbs \ per \ 1,000 \\ lbs \ (lb/1,000 \ lbs) \ of \ coke \ burn-off;$

 $\begin{array}{l} c_s = \text{Concentration of total PM, grams per} \\ \text{dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm), gr/dscf;} \\ Q_{sd} = \text{Volumetric flow rate of effluent gas,} \\ \text{dry standard cubic meters per hour, dry} \\ \text{standard cubic feet per hour;} \end{array}$

 R_c = Coke burn-off rate, kilograms per hour (kg/hr), lbs per hour (lbs/hr) coke; and

K = Conversion factor, 1.0 grams per gram (7,000 grains per lb).

(iii) The coke burn-off rate $(R_{\rm c})$ is computed for each run using Equation 4 of this section:

$$R_{c} = K_{1}Q_{r}(\%CO_{2} + \%CO) + K_{2}Q_{a} - K_{3}Q_{r}(\%CO_{2} + \%CO_{2} + \%CO_{2} + \%O_{2}) + K_{3}Q_{axy}(\%O_{axy})$$
(Eq. 4)

Where:

 R_c = Coke burn-off rate, kg/hr (lb/hr);

Q_r = Volumetric flow rate of exhaust gas from FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner before any emissions control or energy recovery system that burns auxiliary fuel, dry standard cubic meters per minute (dscm/min), dry standard cubic feet per minute (dscf/min):

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathbf{Volumetric}$ flow rate of air to FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner, as deter-

mined from the unit's control room instrumentation, dscm/min (dscf/min);

Q_{oxy} = Volumetric flow rate of O₂ enriched air to FCCU regenerator or fluid coking unit, as determined from the unit's control room instrumentation, dscm/min (dscf/min);

%CO₂ = Carbon dioxide concentration in FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner exhaust, percent by volume (dry basis);

Environmental Protection Agency

%CO = CO concentration in FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner exhaust, percent by volume (dry basis);

%O₂ = O₂ concentration in FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner exhaust, percent by volume (dry basis);

 $\%O_{oxy} = O_2$ concentration in O_2 enriched air stream inlet to the FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner, percent by volume (dry basis):

 K_1 = Material balance and conversion factor, 0.2982 (kg-min)/(hr-dsc-%) [0.0186 (lb-min)/(hr-dscf-%)];

 K_2 = Material balance and conversion factor, 2.088 (kg-min)/(hr-dscm) [0.1303 (lb-min)/(hr-dscf)]; and

 $\begin{array}{lll} K_3 = \text{Material balance and conversion factor}, \\ 0.0994 & (\text{kg-min})/(\text{hr-dscm-\%}) & [0.00624 & (\text{lb-min})/(\text{hr-dscf-\%})]. \end{array}$

(iv) During the performance test, the volumetric flow rate of exhaust gas from catalyst regenerator (Q_r) before any emission control or energy recovery system that burns auxiliary fuel is measured using Method 2 of appendix A–1 to part 60.

(v) For subsequent calculations of coke burn-off rates or exhaust gas flow rates, the volumetric flow rate of Q_r is calculated using average exhaust gas concentrations as measured by the monitors in 60.105a(b)(2), if applicable, using Equation 5 of this section:

$$Q_r = \frac{79 \times Q_a + (100 - \%Oxy) \times Q_{oxy}}{100 - \%CO_2 - \%CO - \%O_2}$$
 (Eq. 5)

Where:

Q_r = Volumetric flow rate of exhaust gas from FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner before any emission control or energy recovery system that burns auxiliary fuel, dscm/min (dscf/min);

Q_a = Volumetric flow rate of air to FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner, as determined from the unit's control room instrumentation, dscm/min (dscf/min);

 Q_{oxy} = Volumetric flow rate of Q_2 enriched air to FCCU regenerator or fluid coking unit, as determined from the unit's control room instrumentation, dscm/min (dscf/min);

%CO₂ = Carbon dioxide concentration in FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner exhaust, percent by volume (dry basis);

%CO = CO concentration FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner exhaust, percent by volume (dry basis). When no auxiliary fuel is burned and a continuous CO monitor is not required in accordance with \$60.105a(g)(3), assume %CO to be zero;

%O₂ = O₂ concentration in FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner exhaust, percent by volume (dry basis); and

 ${}^{\prime\prime}O_{oxy} = O_2$ concentration in O_2 enriched air stream inlet to the FCCU regenerator or fluid coking burner, percent by volume (dry basis).

(5) Method 6, 6A, or 6C of appendix A-4 to part 60 for moisture content and

for the concentration of SO₂; the duration of each test run must be no less than 4 hours. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 6 or 6A of appendix A–4 to part 60.

(6) Method 7, 7A, 7C, 7D, or 7E of appendix A-4 to part 60 for moisture content and for the concentration of NO_X calculated as nitrogen dioxide (NO_2); the duration of each test run must be no less than 4 hours. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see $\S 60.17$) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 7 or 7C of appendix A-4 to part 60.

(7) Method 10, 10A, or 10B of appendix A-4 to part 60 for moisture content and for the concentration of CO. The sampling time for each run must be 60 minutes.

(8) The owner or operator shall adjust PM, NO_X , SO_2 , and CO pollutant concentrations to 0 percent excess air or 0 percent O_2 using Equation 6 of this section:

§ 60.104a

$$C_{adj} = C_{meas} \begin{bmatrix} 20.9_c \\ (20.9 - \%O_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (Eq. 6)

Where:

 C_{adj} = pollutant concentration adjusted to 0 percent excess air or O_2 , parts per million (ppm) or g/dscm;

C_{meas} = pollutant concentration measured on a dry basis, ppm or g/dscm;

 $20.9_c=20.9$ percent $O_2{-}0.0$ percent O_2 (defined O_2 correction basis), percent;

 $20.9 = O_2$ concentration in air, percent; and $\%O_2 = O_2$ concentration measured on a dry basis, percent.

- (e) The owner or operator of a FCCU or FCU that is controlled by an electrostatic precipitator or wet scrubber and that is subject to control device operating parameter limits in \$60.102a(c) shall establish the limits based on the performance test results according to the following procedures:
- (1) Reduce the parameter monitoring data to hourly averages for each test run;

- (2) Determine the hourly average operating limit for each required parameter as the average of the three test runs.
- (f) The owner or operator of an FCCU or FCU that uses cyclones to comply with the PM limit in §60.102a(b)(1) and elects to comply with the COMS alternative monitoring option in §60.105a(d) shall establish a site-specific opacity operating limit according to the procedures in paragraphs (f)(1) through (3) of this section.
- (1) Collect COMS data every 10 seconds during the entire period of the PM performance test and reduce the data to 6-minute averages.
- (2) Determine and record the hourly average opacity from all the 6-minute averages.
- (3) Compute the site-specific limit using Equation 7 of this section:

Opacity Limit = Opacity_{st}
$$x \left(\frac{1lb/1,000 \, lb \, coke \, burn}{PMEmR_{st}} \right)$$
 (Eq. 7)

Where:

Opacity limit = Maximum permissible hourly average opacity, percent, or 10 percent, whichever is greater;

Opacity_{st} = Hourly average opacity measured during the source test runs, percent; and PMEmR_{st} = PM emission rate measured dur-

- $PMEmR_{st} = PM$ emission rate measured during the source test, 1b/1,000 lbs coke burn.
- (g) The owner or operator of a FCCU or FCU that is exempt from the requirement to install and operate a CO CEMS pursuant to §60.105a(h)(3) and that is subject to control device operating parameter limits in §60.102a(c) shall establish the limits based on the performance test results using the procedures in paragraphs (g)(1) and (2) of this section.
- (1) Reduce the temperature and O_2 concentrations from the parameter monitoring systems to hourly averages for each test run.

- (2) Determine the operating limit for temperature and O_2 concentrations as the average of the average temperature and O_2 concentration for the three test runs.
- (h) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the SO₂ and H₂S emissions limits for sulfur recovery plants in §§ 60.102a(f)(1)(i), 60.102a(f)(1)(iii), 60.102a(f)(1)(iii), 60.102a(f)(2)(i), and 60.102a(f)(2)(iii) and the reduced sulfur compounds and H2S emissions limits for sulfur recovery plants in $\S60.102a(f)(1)(ii)$ $\S60.102a(f)(2)(ii)$ using the following methods and procedures:
- (1) Method 1 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for sample and velocity traverses.
- (2) Method 2 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for velocity and volumetric flow rate.

Environmental Protection Agency

- (3) Method 3, 3A, or 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60 for gas analysis. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60.
- (4) Method 6, 6A, or 6C of appendix A-4 to part 60 to determine the SO₂ concentration. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 6 or 6A of appendix A-4 to part 60.
- (5) Method 15 or 15A of appendix A-5 to part 60 or Method 16 of appendix A-6 to part 60 to determine the reduced sulfur compounds and H₂S concentrations. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see \$60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 15A of appendix A-5 to part 60.
- (i) Each run consists of 16 samples taken over a minimum of 3 hours.
- (ii) The owner or operator shall calculate the average $\rm H_2S$ concentration after correcting for moisture and $\rm O_2$ as the arithmetic average of the $\rm H_2S$ concentration for each sample during the run (ppmv, dry basis, corrected to 0 percent excess air).
- (iii) The owner or operator shall calculate the SO_2 equivalent for each run after correcting for moisture and O_2 as the arithmetic average of the SO_2 equivalent of reduced sulfur compounds for each sample during the run (ppmv, dry basis, corrected to 0 percent excess air).
- (iv) The owner or operator shall use Equation 6 of this section to adjust pollutant concentrations to 0 percent O_2 or 0 percent excess air.
- (i) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the SO_2 and NO_X emissions limits in $\S 60.102a(g)$ for a fuel gas combustion device according to the following test methods and procedures:
- (1) Method 1 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for sample and velocity traverses;
- (2) Method 2 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for velocity and volumetric flow rate;
- (3) Method 3, 3A, or 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60 for gas analysis. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue

- and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60;
- (4) Method 6, 6A, or 6C of appendix A-4 to part 60 to determine the SO₂ concentration. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 6 or 6A of appendix A-4 to part 60.
- (i) The performance test consists of 3 valid test runs; the duration of each test run must be no less than 1 hour.
- (ii) If a single fuel gas combustion device having a common source of fuel gas is monitored as allowed under §60.107a(a)(1)(v), only one performance test is required. That is, performance tests are not required when a new affected fuel gas combustion device is added to a common source of fuel gas that previously demonstrated compliance.
- (5) Method 7, 7A, 7C, 7D, or 7E of appendix A-4 to part 60 for moisture content and for the concentration of NO_X calculated as NO_2 ; the duration of each test run must be no less than 4 hours. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10–1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 7 or 7C of appendix A-4 to part
- (j) The owner or operator shall determine compliance with the H_2S emissions limit in $\S60.102a(g)$ for a fuel gas combustion device according to the following test methods and procedures:
- (1) Method 1 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for sample and velocity traverses;
- (2) Method 2 of appendix A-1 to part 60 for velocity and volumetric flow rate;
- (3) Method 3, 3A, or 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60 for gas analysis. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 3B of appendix A-2 to part 60;
- (4) Method 11, 15, or 15A of appendix A-5 to part 60 or Method 16 of appendix A-6 to part 60 for determining the H_2S concentration for affected plants using an H_2S monitor as specified in $\S 60.107a(a)(2)$. The method ANSI/ASME

§60.105a

PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 15A of appendix A-5 to part 60. The owner or operator may demonstrate compliance based on the mixture used in the fuel gas combustion device or for each individual fuel gas stream used in the fuel gas combustion device.

- (i) For Method 11 of appendix A-5 to part 60, the sampling time and sample volume must be at least 10 minutes and 0.010 dscm (0.35 dscf). Two samples of equal sampling times must be taken at about 1-hour intervals. The arithmetic average of these two samples constitutes a run. For most fuel gases, sampling times exceeding 20 minutes may result in depletion of the collection solution, although fuel gases containing low concentrations of H₂S may necessitate sampling for longer periods of time.
- (ii) For Method 15 of appendix A-5 to part 60, at least three injects over a 1-hour period constitutes a run.
- (iii) For Method 15A of appendix A-5 to part 60, a 1-hour sample constitutes a run. The method ANSI/ASME PTC 19.10-1981, "Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses," (incorporated by reference—see §60.17) is an acceptable alternative to EPA Method 15A of appendix A-5 to part 60.
- (iv) If monitoring is conducted at a single point in a common source of fuel gas as allowed under §60.107a(a)(2)(iv), only one performance test is required. That is, performance tests are not required when a new affected fuel gas combustion device is added to a common source of fuel gas that previously demonstrated compliance.

§ 60.105a Monitoring of emissions and operations for fluid catalytic cracking units (FCCU) and fluid coking units (FCU).

- (a) FCCU and FCU subject to PM emissions limit. Each owner or operator subject to the provisions of this subpart shall monitor each FCCU and FCU subject to the PM emissions limit in $\S 60.102a(b)(1)$ according to the requirements in paragraph (b), (c), (d), or (e) of this section.
- (b) Control device operating parameters. Each owner or operator of a FCCU or

FCU subject to the PM per coke burnoff emissions limit in §60.102a(b)(1) shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs (b)(1) through (3) of this section.

- (1) The owner or operator shall install, operate, and maintain continuous parameter monitor systems (CPMS) to measure and record operating parameters for each control device according to the requirements in paragraph (b)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.
- (i) For units controlled using an electrostatic precipitator, the owner or operator shall use CPMS to measure and record the hourly average total power input and secondary voltage to the entire system.
- (ii) For units controlled using a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall use CPMS to measure and record the hourly average pressure drop, liquid feed rate, and exhaust gas flow rate. As an alternative to a CPMS, the owner or operator must comply with the requirements in either paragraph (b)(1)(ii)(A) or (B) of this section.
- (A) As an alterative to pressure drop, the owner or operator of a jet ejector type wet scrubber or other type of wet scrubber equipped with atomizing spray nozzles must conduct a daily check of the air or water pressure to the spray nozzles and record the results of each check.
- (B) As an alternative to exhaust gas flow rate, the owner or operator shall comply with the approved alternative for monitoring exhaust gas flow rate in 40 CFR 63.1573(a) of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Petroleum Refineries: Catalytic Cracking Units, Catalytic Reforming Units, and Sulfur Recovery Units
- (iii) The owner or operator shall install, operate, and maintain each CPMS according to the manufacturer's specifications and requirements.
- (iv) The owner or operator shall determine and record the average coke burn-off rate and hours of operation for each FCCU or FCU using the procedures in §60.104a(d)(4)(iii).
- (v) If you use a control device other than an electrostatic precipitator, wet scrubber, fabric filter, or cyclone, you may request approval to monitor parameters other than those required in